

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
09/287,023	04/06/1999	DALE W. MALIK	BS100/176677	5759		
30314	7590 05/23/2002					
JOHN S. PRATT KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP (BELLSOUTH) 1100 PEACHTREE STREET			EXAMINER			
			NGUYEN, DUC MINH			
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, G	A 30309		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2643			
			DATE MAIL ED. 05/22/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ad

		Application No	·	pplicant(s)	1
3-	Office Action Summan	09/287,023		MALIK	6
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Δ	art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	Duc Nguyen	1	643	
A SH THE - External fiter - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the department of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	REPLY IS SET TO EX TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how ation. ys, a reply within the statutory mi y period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application ne mailing date of this communic	PIRE 3 MONTH(S) ever, may a reply be timely nimum of thirty (30) days wi SIX (6) MONTHS from the	FROM filed II be considered timely. mailing date of this com 35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)	☐ This action is non-f	inal.		
3) <u>□</u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims	allowance except for funder Ex parte Quayle	ormal matters, pros , 1935 C.D. 11, 453	ecution as to the O.G. 213.	merits is
	Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-37 is/are pending in				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consider	ration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-37 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election require	ment.		
	on Papers				
-	The specification is objected to by the Ex				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		-		
44) 🗆 -	Applicant may not request that any objection				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		ed b)⊡ disapprove 	d by the Examiner.	
12)□ -	If approved, corrected drawings are require		tion.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ine Examiner.			
	ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the	foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d	d) or (f).	
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	•			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation fee the attached detailed Office action for 	nal Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	n this National St	age
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (1	to a provisional a	pplication)
	☐ The translation of the foreign langua cknowledgment is made of a claim for do				
ttachment	(s)				
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I		Interview Summary (PT Notice of Informal Pate Other:		
Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev	ademark Office 7. 04-01) Of	ffice Action Summary		Part of Pa	per No. 14

Application/Control Number: 09/287,023 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999

(AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was

not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b).

Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the

AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-7, 10-12, 14-23, 26-27, 29, 32-33, 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as

being anticipated by Lesley (6,333,976).

Consider claims 1-3, 10, 12, 34. Lesley teaches a method for using a communication to

conduct a transaction with respect to a telecommunications account, comprising receiving the

communication at the service switching point, the communication being associated with a CLID

(subscriber's home residence telephone number; col. 6, ln. 48-50); causing the SSP to route the

communication to the intelligent network element (SCP 20; col. 6, ln. 8-34); causing the intelligent network element to obtain a telecommunications account number (prepaid account) and a transaction amount from the communication, the account number corresponding to the telecommunications account with respect to which transaction is to be conducted in the billing system (the abstract, In. 22-31; fig. 4a-b, steps 99, 100, 102, 98; col. 6, In. 46 to col. 7, In. 36); in response to obtaining the telecommunications account number and the transaction amount, causing the intelligent network element to provide the telecommunications account number, the transaction amount, and the calling line number associated with the communication to the service control point (fig. 3; col. 9, ln. 34 to col. 10, ln. 25); in response to receipt of the telecommunications account number, the transaction amount, and the calling line number, causing the SCP to make an assignment of the telecommunications account number, the transaction amount, and the calling line number to a billing message (fig. 3; col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; col. 10, ln. 13-25); and causing the billing system (40) to retrieve the billing message from the SCP, to note the assignment of the telecommunications account number, the transaction amount, and the calling line number to the billing message, and based on the assignment, to conduct the transaction in the billing system with respect to the telecommunications account associated with the telecommunications account number by crediting or debiting the telecommunications account by at least the transaction amount (col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25).

Consider claims 4-5, 15, 17. Lesley further teaches causing the SCP to carry out a validation whose result comprises a determination that the calling line number is authorized with respect to conduct of the transaction (col. 7, ln. 1-28).

Consider claims 6, 16. Fig 2, col. 6, ln. 59-67 read on the limitations of claims 6, 16.

Consider claims 7, 14. Col. 10, ln. 13-25 reads on the limitations of claims 7, 14.

Consider claim 11. The special access code is met by the 1-800 number (col. 5, ln. 38-54).

Consider claims 18-23. Lesley teaches a method to conduct a transaction with respect to a telecommunications account (pre-paid account; col. 7, ln. 1-36) in the system, comprising obtaining a billing message generated as a result of a telecommunications service performed with respect to a calling line number (subscriber's home telephone number; col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25); in response to obtaining of the billing message, the billing system (40) inherently makes a determination that the billing message includes an indication that a transaction is to be conducted with respect to a telecommunications account in the system (col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25); and in response to the determination, the billing system (40) inherently conducts the transaction with respect to the telecommunications account (col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-33; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25).

Consider claims 26-27, 29. Lesley teaches a method for execution of a transaction in the billing system between the calling line number account (col. 9, ln. 10-33) and one of the other accounts (prepaid account) to which the billing system has access, comprising providing that the

billing message includes an indication for the execution of the transaction (col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25), causing the billing system to make a recognition of the indication in the billing message (col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25); and in response to the indication in the billing message, inherently causing the billing system to execute the transaction between the calling line number account and the one of the other accounts (col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25).

Consider claims 32-33. Col. 9, In. 29-33 reads on the limitations of claims 32-33.

Consider claim 35. Lesley teaches a method for executing a transaction, comprising receiving a communication associated with a calling line number (col. 9, ln. 10-33); obtaining a transaction amount from the communication (e.g., adding a pre-pay value to subscriber account; col. 9, ln. 10-33); coding the transaction amount and the calling line number into a billing message (fig. 3; col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; col. 10, ln. 13-25); posting the billing message (col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25); obtaining the billing message, and decoding the transaction amount and the calling line number from the billing message (col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25); and crediting or debiting an account associated with the calling line number by the transaction amount (col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25).

Consider claim 36. Lesley teaches a system for allowing a user to initiate a transaction and have the transaction conducted, comprising a service switching point (SSP 28) for receiving a communication from a user, and for obtaining and acting on instructions regarding the communication (see fig. 5); a service control point (SCP 20) for providing the instructions

Application/Control Number: 09/287,023 Page 6

Art Unit: 2643

regarding the communication to the SSP, the instructions instructing the SSP to retrieve transaction information and to forward the transaction information to the SCP, for including the transaction information in a billing message by assigning the transaction information to at least a field of the billing message, and for posting the billing message for retrieval by a billing system (see fig. 5; col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25); and the billing system (40) for retrieving the billing message (see fig. 3; col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; col. 10, ln. 13-25), for inherently recognizing the transaction information in the billing message, and based on the recognition, for inherently conducting the transaction based on the transaction information (see fig. 5; col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25).

Consider claim 37. Fig. 3; col. 7, ln. 37-63; col. 9, ln. 5-9; fig. 3; col. 10, ln. 13-25 read on the limitations of claim 37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13, 24-25, 28, 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lesley (6,333,976) in view of Resnick et al (6,185,545).

Application/Control Number: 09/287,023 Page 7

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claims 13, 28. Lesley does not teach charging a fee for the transaction.

Resnick teaches charging a fee for the transaction (col. 5, ln. 32-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teachings of Resnick into the teachings of Lesley, so that the telecommunications network can recoup expenses and earn some profits from providing services to the users.

Consider claims 24-25, 30-31. Lesley combines the invoice relates to the transaction conducted with respect to the telecommunications account (i.e., prepay account) and the invoice for the different telecommunications account (subscriber's home telephone number account) (col. 9, ln. 5-9). Furthermore, the mere fact that a given structure is integral does not preclude its consisting of various elements, Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179 (PTO Bd. of Int. 1969). There is also a requirement that the unification or integration involve more than just mere mechanical skill. In re Murray, 19 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 739, 53 F.2d 541, 11 USPQ 155; In re Zabel et al., 38 C.C.P.A. (patents) 832, 186 F.2d 735, 88 USPQ 367. It appears that the unity or diversity of parts would depend more upon the choice of the manufacturer, and the convenience and availability of the machines and tools necessary to construct the telecommunication test system, than on any inventive concept.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7527.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306 or (703) 308-6296 (Group's Fax numbers) (703) 746-7251 (Examiner's Fax number, only for proposed amendment)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

May 15, 2002

DUC NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER